CN880046

REPUBLIC OF SENEGAL 6/11

FINESTRY OF RURAL DEVELOPMENT SENEGALESE INSTITUTE

OF AGRICULTURAL RESEARCH

88/060

DEPARTMENT OF RESEARCH ON CROP PRODUCTION

ANNUAL REPORT
ON COWPEA PATHOLOGY BY
D.G. GAIKWAD.

988

DECEMBER 1988

Res is tance screening was the main i tem of research during the year 1988. This included virus and bacterial hlight screening in the field as well as in the screen house under artificial inoculation. Resistance screening for other diseases such as web blight, ashy stem blight, cercosporiose and choanephora pod rot. was done under field conditions only in the disease nursery plot. Virus transmission stedies through seed and by insects were continced during this year also. Similarly a statistically laid out experiment for estimation of yield loss due to virus was conducted to confirm the results of last: year is filler trial. A new experiment on chemical control of ashy stem blight was initiated. The results of all these experiments are discussed in the following pages.

I. RESISTANCE SCREENING FOR MA, TORDISEASES.

1 .1. Screening for virus resistance:

and 4 varieties were screened for their virus resistance under field conditions at Djibelor. These entries were divided into 4 sets each with 11 breeding lines 3 varie tes and 5 promising breeding lines which are likely to go into minikit trials. In the first set one breeding line was replaced by Ndiambour. Thus each set had 19 entries with 4 replications. They were planted in a randomised block design. Each entry had 4 rows of 5m length spaced at 50 cm. The spacing within the plants was kept at 50cm.

One row of a local susceptible variety was sown in between 2 replications of each experiment. This served as spreader row. The spreader rows were inocul ated with the sap from the virus infected leaves which helped to spread the infection to the test entries. The inoculum wasprepared by blending virus infected leaves in the phosphate buffer. Carborundum powder was added to the inoculum to act as an abrasive. The inoculation was done by rubbing the fully grown well expanded primary leaves with a forefinger wetted with the inoculum. The sowing was done on 6/08 while the inoculation was done on 31/08. The infection on the spreader rows was quite satisfactory. The observations on test entries were recorded twice. The summary of observations is presented in table 1.

Table 1 : Virus incidence in the f idd at Djibelor on the entries of advanced yield trials.

Sr.N° Cross		.N° Cross Entry Virus Incidence		
1	2	3	4	5
** ***********************************	Yield tria1 1			
1	58-57 x IT 81D 1137	253 N	47-17	mild symptoms
2	-If-	275 N	2-27	mild symptoms
3	_ " _	279 N	33-53	
4	-"-	283 N	4-65	mild symptom
5	-"-	299 N	4-12	
6	MougnexIT 82D 713	369 N	0.00	
7	_"-	398 N	0.58	
8	_"-	399 N	0.57	mild symptoms
9	158-57 x Tvx 3236	400 N	3.98	
10	_"-	401 N	0.00	
11	_"""_	402 N	4.00	***************************************
12	_11_	403 N	2.47	
13	_"-	404 N	4.60	İ
14	_"_	405 N	0.00	
15	_"_	406 N	0.57	mild symptoms
16	_"_	B 21	0.00	
17	_"_	58-57	36.47	
18		Tvx3236	-	1
19	m 11	Ndiambour	8.52	

1	2] 3	4	1 5
	Yield trial []	l		
1	58-57 x IT818 1137	?5 3 N	50-65	mil d symptems
2	_"_	275 N	3-41	mild symptoms
3	-"-	279 N	11-04	l
4	"	283 N	8.00	mild symptoms
5	_"_	299 N	1.17	
6	Mougne x IT 82D 713	360 N	1.72	İ
7	_"_	363 N	0.57	mild symptoms
8	58-57 x Tvx 3236	407 N	0.00	
9	au 11 au	408 N	0.00	
10	-"-	409 N	0.57	
11	_"_	410 N	0.57	mild symptoms
12	-"-	411 N	0.00	1
13	-"-	'i12 N	1. 14	mild symptoms
14	H	413 N	0.57	
15	= 11 = -	414 N	0.00	
16	_"-	415 N	0.00	
17		В 21	0.00	
18		58- 57	23.57	1
19		Tvx 3236	0.00	

1	2			
	YIIIld trial			
	58-57 x [T81D 11]37	253 N	38.33	mild symptoms
2	_"-	275 N	7.39	mild symptoms
3	¹¹ mg	279 N	43.35	
4	30 ¹¹ m.	283 N	6.34	mild symptoms
5		299 N	3.68	1
6	Mougne x IT 82 D 713	356 N	0.57	mild symptoms
7		368 N	0.00	
8	58-57 x Tvx 3236	416 N	0.00	
9	_ "_	417 N	5.70	
10	_"-	418 N	0.00	1
11	-"-	420 N	1.70	mild symptoms
12	_"_	421 N	0.00	
13	na ¹¹ an	422 N	0.00	
14	_"-	423 N	0.57	mild symptoms
15	- 11 m	424 N	0.57	nildsymptoms
16	_"_	425 N	0.00	1
17		B21	0.00	1
18		58-57	32.92	
19		Tvx 3236	0.00	}

1	2	3	I 4	5
	Ymeld trial IV			
	58-57 x IT 81D 1137	253 N	58-02	mild symptoms
L	_ 11	275 N	1.14	mi d symptoms
	mp 31 mm	279 N	17.81	
ž į	_"_	283 N	3.75	mi Ld symptoms
5	nu ¹¹ tes	299 N	0.00	
O	Mougne x IT 82D 713	365 N	0.00	
7	, II	371 N	0.00	
8	58-57 x Tv x 3236	426 N	0.00	
9	_"_	427 N	2.00	
10	- ¹¹ –	428 N	2.00	
11	_"-	429 N	0.00	1
12	_""_	430 N	0.00	
13	_"_	431 N	0.00	
14	_11 ~	432 N	0.00	
15	-"-	433 N	0.00	
16	58-57 х IT81 д 1032	437 N	0.59	
17		B 21	0.00	
18		58-57	49.01	
19		Tvx 3236	0.00	

All the entries from the cross $58-57 \times 17.81 \text{ D} 1137$, which were tested in all the trials developed virus infection in various degrees except one viz., 299 N which was free from virus in trial N°4Amongst these one 275 N, 283 N and 299 N had comparatively less virus infection. More over mild type of symptoms were observed on 275 N and 283 N.

Out o f 43 breeding lines der od from the crosses Mougne x IT 82 D713, 58-57 x Tvx 3236 and 58-57 x IT81D 1032, 22 were free from virus infection while 21 were susceptible. Highest number of virus free lines (18) were obtained from the cross 58-57 x Tvx 3236 Mougne x IT82D 71.3 and 58-57 x 1'1' 81D 1137 yielded 4 and 1 virus free lines respectively.

Amongst the varieties 521 and Tvx 3236 were free from virus infection while 58-57 and Ndiamborr were susceptible.

All these entries togetherwith some more breeding lines from the cross 58-57 x IT 81 D 1032 and few more varieties were screened in the screen house by artificial inoculation. Five seeds of each entry were sown in separate pots on 14.9.88. The virus inoculation was done on 21.9.88. The sap from the virus infected leaves was used for inoculation. The inoculum vas prepared by blending the infected leaves in a phosphate buffer. Carborundum powder was added to the inoculum to act as a abrasive. The inoculation was done by rubbing the fully grown well expanded primary leaves with a forefinger wetted with the inoculum.

The virus symptoms started appearing by the end of September. The observations were recorded on 13.10.88. The second observation, however could not be recorded as the plants were seriously attacked by aphids. Virus reactions noted in the first observation are given in table 2.

Table 2: Virus reac tions of some of the advanced breeding lines and parents.

i i		1	•			
Sr.N°	Cross		Entry	Re	eaction	
1	2		3	1	4 .	
1	ј 58-57 x гт 81D 1137		253 N		;	
2	_{ть} П _{ты}		275 N		R : S	
3	na 11 m		279 N		S	
4	' -"-		283 N	1	R : S	
5	<u>.</u> "		299 N	İ	R	
6	Mougne x IT 82D 71.3		356 N	ĺ	R	
7	_"-		360 N		R	
8	-"-		363 N		NG	
		1				

Sr.N°	Cross	Entry	Reaction
1	2	3	4
9	Mougne x IT 82D 713	365 N	R
10	- ¹¹ -	368 N	R
11	_"_	369 N	R
12	on II ma	371 N	R
13	IT 81D 1137 x 58-57	384 N	S
14	Mocgne x IT 81D 1137	395 N	R
15	58-57 x Tvx 3236	398 N	S
16	_#_	399 N	R
17	-"-	400 N	S
18	-"-	401 N	S
19	_"-	402 N	S
20	_'''_	403 N	R
21	_"-	404 N	S
22	_**_	405 N	R
23	_"-	406 N	R
24	_"-	407 N	S
25	_"_	408 N	R
26	_"-	409 N	S
27	_"-	410 N	R
28	- n-	411 N	R
29	_** - **	412 N	R
30	_"_	413 N	S
31	_"-	414 N	R
32	_"-	415 N	R
33	_"-	416 N	R
34	_"_	417 N	R ,
35	_"-	418 N	S
36	_"_	419 N	S
37	- "-	420 N	R
38	_"_	421 N	R
39	_"_	422 N	R
40	_"_	423 N	R

Sr. N°	Cross		Entry	Reaction
1	2 -		3	
41	58-57 x .vx 3236		f f	R
42			425 N	S
4 3	1 f	To the second se	426 N	S
44	+"-		427 N	R
455	w ¹¹ m		428 N	R
46	- "-		429 N	R
47	nu ¹¹ ms	1	430 N	R
48	_"_		431 N	S
49	_"_		432 N	R
50	-"-		433 N	S
51	58-57 × IT 81D 1032		437 N	R
52	_"-		438 N	R
53	_ "-		444 N	R
54	_"_		445 N	R
55	_ "_		447 N	R
56	- "-		449 N	R
57	= "=	-	454 N	S
58	- n-		455 N	R
5'3	-"-		в 21	R
60	-"-		58-57	S
61	- "-		Mougne	S
61	_"-	Ndia	mbour	S
63	-"-		CB5	R
64	_"_	TVx	3236	R
65	-"-	IIT	84S2246-4	R

Notes :

R - Resistant S-Susceptible

R:S - Mixture of resistant and susceptible.

NC - Not germinated

Out o f 58 breading lines/335 med resistant reaction.

hile 18 were susceptible. Two entries viz, 275N acd 263 N showed he teregeneous reaction. All the entries from the cross Mougner IT 82 D 713 showed resistant reaction. Similarly as in: the field test, in this test also large number of entries were observed to be resistant in 58-57 x Tvx3236 cross. Amongst the varieties, B 21, Tvx 3236, IT 848 2246-4 and CB5 were found to be resistant while 58-57. Mougne and Ndiambour were susceptible. This year CB5 w a s also found to be virus free in the breeder's trials as well as minikit trials.

The following 15 breeding 1 ines were observed to be free of vi rus in the screechouse test as well as in the field test.

365 N, 368 N, 369 N, 371 N, 405 N, 403 N, 411 N, 414 N, 415 N, 416 N, 421 N, 422 N, 429 N, 430 N and 432 N.

1.2. Screening for bacteria. blight resistance:

A set of 65 entries comprising of 58 breeding lines from advanced generations and 7 varieties were screened against bacterial blight in the screen house .Four seeds of each were pown in each p o t separately on 14.9.88. The inoculation was done on 24.9.88 by stem stab method. The stems were stabbed with a arrow-head needle through a bacter ial. smear placed on the stem one centimeter below the primary leaves. Fresh inoculum multiplied on nutrient dextrose again medium was used for inoculation. The observations were recorded for disease reaction thrice on 6.10.88, 13.10.88 and 21.10.88 and are given in table 3.

Table 3: Bacterial blight reaction of some advanced breeding material and parents.

<u>Entry</u>		Bactérial	blight reaction	
		1st ()bsec tior:	rva- 2 n d Observa- tion	3rd Observat ion
	<u>58-57 x IT 81 D</u>	1137		
1	253 N	2 s	2 s	2 S
2	275 N	4 R	3 R 1 S	3 R 1 S
3	279 N	2 R	S 2 R 2 S	2 R 2 S
4	283 N	1 R	S 1 R 2 S	1 R 2 S
5	299 N	2 s	2 S	2 s

	Moug <u>ne x IT 82 D 713</u>			
6	356 N	lı R	4 R	4 R
7	360 N	/1 R	4 R	4 R
8	363 N	N G	**	-
?	365 N	2 R	2 R	2 R
10	368 N	3 R	3 R	3 R
11	369 N	3 R	3 R	3 R
12	371 N	1 R 2 S	1 R 2 S	1 R 2 S
	<u>IT 81</u> D 1137 x <u>50−57</u>			
13	384 N	2 R 1 S	1 R 2 S	1 R 2 S
	Mougne x IT 81 D 1137			
14	395 N	3 R	3 R	3 R
	<u>58 - 57 x Tv x 3236</u>			
15	398 N	l↓ R	4 R	4 R
16	399 N	3 R	3 R	3 S
17	400 N	3 R	3 R	3 R
18	401 N	2 R	2 R	2 R
19	402 N	14 R	4 R	4 R
20	403 N	1 R	1 R	1 R
21	404 N	2 R	3 R	1 R 1 S
22	405 N	3 R	3 R	3 R
23	406 N	l R	4 R	4 R
24	407 N	2 R	2 R	2 R
25	408 N	2 R	2 R	2 R
26	409 N	4 R	4 R	4 R
27	410 N	2 R	2 R	2 R
28	411 N	2 R	2 R	2 R
29	412 N	4 R	4 R	3 R 1 M s
30	413 N	2 R	2 R	2 R
31	414 N	3R	3 R	3 R
32	415 N	4 R	¹ 4 R	4 R
33	416 N	4R	4 R	ll R
34	417 N	3 R	3 R	3 R

35	418 N	3 R	3 R	3 R
36	419 N	2 R	2 R	2 R
3;"	420 N	2 R	2 R	2 R
38	421 N	3 R	S 3 R 1 S	3 R 1 S
3"	422 N	2 R	2 R	2 R
40	423 N	2 R	1 R 1 S	1 R i S
41	424 N	3R	3 R	3 R
42	425 N	4 R	4 R	lļ R
43	426 N	4 R	4 R	4 R
44	427 N	3R	3 R	3 R
45	428 N	4 R	4 R	4 R
46	429 N	1 R	1 R	1R
47	430 N	2 R	2 R	2 R
48	431 N	3R	3 R	3 R
49	432 N	4 R	4 · R	4 R
50	433 N	3R	3 R	3R
	58-57 x IT 81 D 1032			
51	437 N	4 R	4 R	4 R
52	438 N	2 R	2 R	2 R
53	444 N	4 R	4 fi	2 R 1MS 1 S
5 ⁴	445 N	3R 1	S 3 R i S	2 R 2 S
55	447 N	4	4 B	μR
56	449 N	2 R	2 R	2 R
57	454 N	2 R	2 R	2 S
			(Sympto	oms on the leaves!
58	455 N	3R	3 R	3R
	<u>Varieties</u>			
59	Tvx 3236	4 R	4 R	4 R
60	IT 84S 2246-4	4 R	4 R	4 R
61	Mougne	4R	4 ri	4 R
62	58-57	3 R	3R	1 R 2MS
63	Ndiambour	2 R S	2 R 2 S	1 R 3 S
64	B 21	4 s	4 S	4 s
65	CB5	2 s	2 \$	2 s

Note: R - Resistant Ms - Moderately susceptible

S - Susceptible NC; - Not germinated

It is revealed from the final observation that 42 breeding lines were resistant to bacterial blight while 4 were susceptible. Eleven breeding lines showed he terogeneous reactions. One ntry developed symptoms on the leaves through systemic infection. However, symptoms on the stem were of resistant type.

Amongst the 7 varieties Mougne, Tvx 32 36 and IT 84 S 2246-4 were resistan while B 2 1 and CB5 were susceptible. 8-57 and Nd iambour showed he terogeneous reaction. Bacterial blight i s seens to the first time on 58-57. This year it was observed in the field also under natural infection.

Out of 15 entries which/food to be virus free in both field as well as screen house tests. If were also found to be resistant to bacterial blight. They are as under: 365 N, 368 N, 369 N, 405 N, 408 N, 411 N, 414 N, 415 N, 416 N, 422 N, 429 N, 430 N and 432 N.

It is suggested to do single plant selections in the breeding lines showing heterogeneous reactions.

Single plant selections made in some of the promising breeding lines were screened in the screen house by stem stab method. The sowing of 15 single plant selections from 275N was done on 28.10.88 and the inoculation on 9.11.88. Twenty single plant selections from 283 N were sown on 11.11.88 and inoculated on 23.11.88.

Out of 15 single plant selections from 275 N 9 were found resistant to bacterial blight while 2 single plant selections from 283 N were resistant to bacterial blight. The seed of these selections has been given to the breeder for further use.

1.3. Disease Nursery .

A disease nursery was initiated in the year 1986 with a view to screen the promising material against the principle cowpea diseases. This year in all 120 entries comprising of 79 varieties and 41 elite breeding lines were tested against virus, bacterial blight, macrophomina balight and cercospora leaf spot. No entry was inoculated directly. However, varietics used in the spreader rows viz, 58-57 and 8 21 were inoculated with virus and, bacterial blight respectively. Macrophomina blight pathogen is soil borne. The tisease nursery is conducted in the same field every year. This has helped to build up this pathogen in the disease nursery plot, which was evident from the heavy infection of this disease on the spreader rows as well as the test, entries. However, it is not yet uniformly distributed in the nursery plot.

The disease nursery a nsisted of spreader rows, indicator rows and the test entries. Two varie ties were used for spreader and indicator rows viz, 58-57 (virus susceptible) and B 21 material blight susceptible). Half line was sown to 58-57 and the remaing half to B 24. The spreader row was repreated every after 4 test entries. THE spreader " i s were sown on 28.07.88 while the test entries were sown on 10.08.88. One line of' if licator rows was sown on the same day alongwith the test entries every after est rows. Each entry hnd one row of 5m | ength The spacing used was 80 cm between the rows and 50 cm within the plants. Thus there were 10 pockets in each may of which 5 were sown to 58-57 and 5 to B 21. in case of spreader and indicator rows. Each test entry was repeated two times. A border of 4-6 lines of 13 21. and 58-57 was sown all around the experimental plot. All the plants of 58-5; ir: the spreader rows were inoculated with virus on 31.08.88. The inoculum was prepared by blending the infected leaves in a buffer solution of sodium and potassium phosphate:;. Bacterial blight inoculation of B 21 plants in the spreader rows was done on 1.09.88 by infiltration technique. Inoculum was prepared by making a water suspension of freshly multiplied bacterial culture on nutrient dextrose agar medium .

Virus development on the spreader rows was highly satisfactory. Its spread to the test entries was also very high. Some of the test entries were very severely infected. Out of 79 varieties tested, 46 were found to be virus resistant while 20 were susceptible. Seven varieties produced heterogeneous reaction. Amongst the preeding lines 11 were observed to be virus free while 14 were susceptible. Thirteen I reeding lines had a mixture of resistant and susceptible plants. There was a mix ture of resistant and susceptible plants in 275 N, a promising breeding line which is likely t 0 go in the minikit trials. Individual virus resistant plants of 275 N were selected and handed over to the breeder for further use.

Bacteriai blight development on B 21 plants in the spreader rows was quite satisfactory. However, it did not spread much to test entries. Only 7 entries developed susceptible reaction. Most of these entries developed stem canker symptoms. Rlight symptoms on the leaves were very rare. This may be due to heavy infection of macrophomina blight which migh thave suppressed bacterial blight infection.

Macrophomia blight infection was the first to appear in the nursery. The infection was seen bot h on the leaves as well as on the stems. In fact the infection first started on the leaves and gradually extended to the stems. In many cases all the leaves on the plant were dropped down due to macrophomia infection finally resulting in complete death of the plants.

In some areas to infection was very severe killing all the plants in all the entries including spreader and indicator rows. In the whole nursery only 19 entries were found to to resistant of which 12 were varieties and 7 were breeding lines. However, the resistance of these entries needs to be confirmed. Probably they might have escaped in the tion because of lack of inoculum in some area of the nursery.

Cerces: ora leaf spots infection was developed late. It was quite severe on some of the entries. Twenty seven entries were rated as susceptible. Only 9 entries we relocated to be almost free from cercospora leaf spots. All other entries showed intermediate reaction. Most of them were rated as moderately resistant.

This year/was no incidence of chonnephora pod rot. Similarly web blight infection was also not seen which might be due to heavy infection of macrophomina blight.

In case of 8 entries, althe plants were killed due to macrophominu bl ight in both Le replications. As such their performance against other diseases could not be seen. In other 47 entries, all the plants were killed due to macrophomina blight in one replication. The performance of these entries against other diseases is based on one replication Only. These entries are marked by asterisk.

The results of all the entries for all the four diseases are summarised in table 4.

Table 4: React ions of principle diseases on some promising varieties and elite breeding lines in the disease nursery.

0	Entry	Disease Reaction			
	1	Virus	Bacterial Blight	Macropho- Blight	Cercospora leaf spot
1 -1	L	3	4	5	6
1	58- 57	S	R	S	MS
2	58-39 *	R	l R	S	MR
3	78-45 *	R	R	S	MR
l į	58-185*	S	R	S	S
5	78 -7*	R	R	S	MR
6	66-68"	MS	R	S	MR
7	58-25	N A	N A	S	N A
8	58-184*	R	R	S	MR
9	66-86	Nil	N A	S	NA
10	58-79 D ₂ A*	R	l R	S	MR
11	78-21*	R	R	S	MR
12	82-2 WL*	R	R	S	MR
13	66-37	NA	NA	S	NA
14	58-43"	R	R	S	MR
15	63-33	S	R	S	MR
16	66-149	R	R	S	MR
17	36-64	S	R	MR	MR
18	78-29	R	R	S	MR
19	66-64"	R	S	S	MR
20	76 B 27	R	R	S	MR
21	66-73*	R	MS	S	MR
22	58-95"	R	l R	S	MR
23	82-6	R:S	R	S	S
24	60-6	R	l R	S	MR
25	AS 6 *	R	R	S	S
26	AS 9	R	R	S	S
27	85 F 962-4*	R	R	S	MR
28	78-23"	R	R	S	MR
29	58-74 D1C2	R	R	S	MR
30	58-58	s	R	MR	S

31	78-46	R	R	S 3	S
32	63-8"	R	R	S	?IR
33	66-56	R : MS	R	•	MR
34	59-21	R : MS	MR	MR	MR
35	58-221	Mli	R	MR	MR
36	58-79T	R; MS	MS	R	٧IR
37	58-64	MS	R	MR	S
38	58-60	S	R	R	s
39	78-36	R	R	R	R
40	63-05	MS	R	MR	MR
41	59_20	R	R	R	MR
42	78-37	S	R	R	MR
43	67-32	R	R	S	S
44	66-A4	S	R	Fi	MR
45	78_10	MS	R I	R	MR
46	66-17	R : S	R	S	S
47	IS B 26	S	R 1	R	S
48	Ndiambour	S	R	R	S
49	89-10 ML*	R	R ı	0	R
50	IT 81 D 1137	NA	NA	NA	NA
51	66-76	R : S	S	MR	MR
52	59-9 D1*	R	R	s	R
53	68-226	R	R	MR	S
54	78-26	R	R	MR	R
55	17 B 28*	R	R	S	MR
56	122 Vita 5	R	R	MR	R
57	58-20*	R	R	S	MR
58	78-33	R	R	S	R
59	58-34	R : MS	R	R	S
60	66-40	R	R	MR	S
61	103-6	S	R	R	S
62	58-75	R	R	S	R'
63	83D 328-4	R	R	MR	R
64	78-6	S	R	R	MR
65	TVx 3236*	R	R	S	R
66	78-32	R	R	S	MR
67	78-19	NA	NA	S	N A
68	84 D 371"	R	R	S	MR
69	58-95 D2	MS	R	S	MR

70	78-20*	R	R	S	MR
71	83-122*	R	S	S	MR
72	Mougne	S	R	S	MR
73	78-3*	R	R	S	MR
74	58-44*	R	R	S	MR
75	78-5	R	ii	S	MR
76	82-9*	R	FIS	S	l MR
77	dan Haoussa	S	R	S	MR
78	IT 81 D 1032	NA I	NA	S	NA NA
79	IT 84S-2246-4	R	R	S	MR
80	2 N	R : S	R	S	MR
81	36 *	MS	R	S	MR
82	48N *	R : s	R	\$	MR
83	63N *	R:	R	S	MR
84	76N *	R	R	\$	MR
85	93N *	R	R]	\$	S
36	114N	NA	NA	\$	NA
87	121N *	S	R	S	MR
88	14ON *	S	R	S	MR
89	168N *	R	R	S	MR
90	170N	NA	NA	\$	NA
91	174N	NA I	NA	S	NA
92	185N	S	R	S	l S
93	191N *	PIS	R	S	MR
94	217 N *	MS	R	S	MR
95	į 218 N *	MS	R	S	S
96	219 N *	MS	MS	S	S
97	224 N *	S	R	S	S
98	235 N	R	R	MR	MR
99	237 N	R	R	S	MR
100	239 м *	R	R	S	MR
101	241 N	S	R	S	MR
102	245 N *	R : S	R	S	MR
103	247 N *	MS	R	S	MS
104	248 N *	S	R	MR	I MR
105	252 N *	R	R	S	MR
106	253 N	R : MS	R	MR	MR

										, <u>r</u> ()
107		<i>4</i> 59	N		Ii	R		MR		MR
108		269	N		R : S	R		R		S
109		275	N		R : S	R	İ	S	1	MS
110	1	276	N		R ; s	B		MR		MR
111		⊋79	N		S	Ř		MR		MR
112		283	N.		MS: S	R	l	R		S
113		286	N		R : S	R		R		MR
114		292	N		R: MS	R	-	R		S
115		299	N		R:S	R		M R		S
196		309	N		R : s	R		R		MS
117		310	N		R	R		MR		MR
118		375	N		R	R	İ	MS	1	S
119		279	N		S	R		R		MR
120		283	N		MR : MS	R		R		S

Noi;es:

R - Resistant MR - Moderately resistant
MS- Moderately susceptible S-Susceptible
R:S-Mixture of resistant and susceptible
NA- Results not available.

* Observations for virus, bacterial blight and cercespora leaf spots based on one replication only.

II. irus Transmission studies :

extent of contamination already exists in the farmers 'seed.

The studies or. virus transmission through seed as well as by vectors were mit lated in 1987. The same were continued this year in order to confirm the results on ta ned in 1987. However the trial was slightly modified. In addition to station med farmers' seed was also seed in the trial conducted at Bambey while a to by i belor only the farmers' seed was used instead of station seed. This will help to know the

2.1. Transmission through seted

58-57 was used for this trial. There WC+re 3 treatments depending on the source of seed. The first treatment, consisted of our own seed which was harvested from the v .rus infected plot (Infected seedj. For the second treatment seed obtained from seed production service (healthy seed) was used while the third treatment comprised of the farmers' seed procured from the market. The sowing was done on 28 .07.88 The observations on virus incidence were recorded n 11.38. The data on number of

The trial was conducted at 2 locations viz, Bambey and Djibelor. At Bambey in 3 separate plots with a spacing of 50 x 50 cm2... One seed was sown in each pocke t. seed sown and germinated and the number of virus infected plants is furnished below

Treatmer, t	Number	of see	ds of	N° of virus infected	, o
	sown g	germinat	ed ger.	plants 11.08	disease
quarter requirements or applications					
Enfec ted seed	480	337	70.2	17	5.04
Heal hy seed	470	379	80.6		1.06
Farmers' seed	960	650	67.7	16	2.46

From the germination percentage it is seen that the quality of farmers 'seed as well as infected seed was not satisfactory while that of healthy seed was just fulfi 11 ing the minimum requirement. The observations recorded 14 days after sowing showed 5.04% virus incidence in the plot sown with infected seed while i.t was 2.46% in the farmers 'seed. Healthy seed also recorded 1.06% virus incidence. The first appearance of aphids was noticed on 19.08 which means the virus infection occured was through seed In 1987, 17.5 % infection w a s rechded through seed. It was quite high as compared to this year probably because the seed used during 1987 was exclusively obtained from the infected plants while this year it was Prom the harvest of an infected plot.

2, 6° infection in the farmers' seed indicates that farmers' seed is also substantial of cor: taminated with virus—while the 1.06% infection in the healthy seed reveals that the seed of seed production service is not tatally free from virus and emphasizes the need of monitoring and exercising rigorus requing—of infected plants in the seed multiplication plots.

The trial conducted at Djibelor consisted of only the farmers' seed of 1.0ca variety procured from market. The trial was sown in small plots at different sites in the same field. The sowing was done on 6.08.88 with 50 x 50 cm2 spacing. The observations on virus incidence were recorded on 31.08.88. No teetles were observed in the trial plot, till 31.08.88. The data on number of seeds sown in each plot with number of seeds germinated and number of plantsinfected with irus is furnished below.

plot. N°	N° of	Seeds germi- nated	% germ.	N° of virus infected plants	g di sease
					_
1	1520	1406	92.5	97 23	6.9
II	392	371	94.6	23	6.2
III	492	458	93.1	20	4.37
IV	400	375	93.7	11	2.93
Total	2804	2610	93.1	151	5.79

The average icfec tion (5.79%) occurred through seed at Djibelor is higher than the infection noticed a t Bambey 2.46%). This ci ows that the farmers' seed in Casamance is more coctaminated by virus than Hambey.

2.2. : Transmission by insects.

The trial for virus transmision through seed was further continued to see whether there is virus transmission by insects. The occurrence of aphids was noticed at Bambey on 19/08.

Aphids were allowed to develop in the trial 'However, they disappeared after a heavy rain of 127mm on 28/08. There was considerable increase in the virus inclidence in the first week of September which indicates that aphids had already transmitted the virus to the healthy plants. The observations recorded on 8.09 are presented below.

Plot		Total plants	Virus Infected plants	Disease %	Disease % before the appearance of aphids
Infected	Seed	337	79	23.44	5.04
Healthy	Seed	379	66	17.41	1.06
Farmers'	Seed	650	124	19.08	2.46

All the plots have shown iccrease in virus incidence after the occurence of aphids. This indicates that aphids were responsible for transmitting the virus infection to the healthy plants.

III. ESTIMATION OF LOSS IN YIELD DUE TO VIRUS.

A filler trial conducted at Bambey during 1987 revealed that there was 17.41% loss in yield due to virus. This year a statistically Laid out triat was conducted at Bambey t of indout the yield Moss due o virus. The experiment consisted of two treatments vi z,(1) plots with minimal virus infection through use of healthy seed and control of vector and (2) plots with maximum virus infection through use of infected seed and artificial inoculation on of plants. The variety used for the experiment was 58-57. The experiment was sown or 28.07.88 in a randomised complete block design. Each treatment was replicated 12 times. Plot size was 5 x 5m2 and the spacing 50 x 50cm2. The protected plots were sprnyed periodically with thiodan at the rate of 800g a.i/ha for arrestingth evector notivity. Unprotected plants were inoculated with the sap collected from the infected leaves. The inoculation was done on 31.08.88 by rubbing the young leaves with a fore finger wetted with the inoculum. Carborundum powder was added to tite inoculum to act as an abrasive.

The observations were recorded for the virus incidence and the yield. The data was statistically analysed. The summary of results is presented in table 5.

Table 5: Estimation of loss in yield due to virus: summary of results.

Treatment	Virus i	ncidence	Y ield
	at	arcsin	(Kg/ha)
Protected plots	15.99	23. 53	1140
unprotected plots	24.37	29. 32	897
CV %	27. 74	14. 14	7. 72
Prob.	0.003	0.002	0.000
LSD	7.09	4.74	100

In case of both the disease in didence and the yield data, the differences between the two treatments were highly significant. There was significantly higher virus incidence in the unprotected plots than protected plots which resulted in significantly decreasing the yield in unprotected plots. There was 30% (343 Kg/ha) yield loss due to more virus incidence in the unprotected plots.

In the disease and yield correlation analysis a negative but si.gnificant correlation was observed (-0.557). This shows that with the increase in the virus incidence there is significant reduction in Lhe yield.

IV. CHEMICAL CONTROL OF ASHY STEM BLIGHT.

Ashy stem blight caused by Macrophomina phaseolina was a serious disease on cowpen during 1987 rainy season. It was seen through out the cowpen area at the research stations as well as farmers fields. Some of the fields were very badly affected resulting in heavy yield losses. Since the ashy stem blight pathogen is predominantly soil borne, seed treatment was thought to be more effect ite for combating this disease. Hence a field experiment was conducted with some new seed dressers together with the old ones which are available and in use in Senegal. The experiment was conducted in a randomised complete block design with 8 treatments and 4 replications. Other details of the experiment were as follows:

Treatments	: EIGHT				
1	Rizolex			2g/Kg	sead
2	Rizolex			3g /Kg	11
3	Granox			2g /Kg	11
tţ	Sumi 8			1g/Kg	11
5	Sumi 8			2g/Kg	11
6	Granox			4g/Kg	11
7	Thiram			3g/Kg	11
8	Control (N	Seed	treatment)		

Plot size : $6 \times 5m$ Spacing : $50 \times 50 \text{ cm}$

variety : B 2 3

Ferti lizens: 6: 20: 10 at the rate of 50 Kg/ha

Date of sowing : 28.07.88

Date of harest : 6. 10.88

One seed was sown at each pocket: Refore sowing furadan was applied in each pocket to protect the seed from damage due to other pests particularly millipeds. Infected s tems collected during 1987 crop season was crushed into powder and used as inoculum. This inoculum was put in each pocket along with the seed.

The observations were recorded for germination, disease incidence, disease intensity and the yield. Disease intensity and incidence observations were recorded three times. All the data were statistically analysed. The summary of results is given in table 6.Duncar. smultiple Range test was applied to test the efficacy of various seed dresser; where the treatment effects were observed to be significant i.e. in case of germination percentage and first observations on disease incidence and intensity recorded on 26.08.88. Theresults are summarised in table 7.

Table 6: Chemical control of ashy stem blight:

Summary of results

(Figures in the brackets denote Arcsin values)

on % 0.11 3.53) 2.50 2.40)	1st obs 26/08 (49.59) 51.67	1	3 d obs 23/09	10 (63	2nd obs .7/02 .25) 79(8	84.39)[3	(Kg/ha)
3.53) 2.50 2.40)		(7,0.56)	00.00	Q (63	.25) 79 (8	84.39)[3	9
2.40)	51.67			J L)' 	13.21
8 61	(45.97)	88.61 (70.44)	1	45.28 (42.26)	,,,	Í	12.94
9.20)	48.05 (43.87)	87.50 (69.87)	1	40.14 (39.31)	72.71 (58.63)	98.13 (82.27)	8.34
.67	64.72 (53.58)	93.89	1	60.69 (51.18)			 3.98
5.00 7.87)	61.39 I(51.86)	90.00	1	55.07 (48.01)	82.71 (66.27)	98.47 (84.04)	, 4.88
1.72 1.83)	47.50 (43.56)	•	1	42.64 (40.72)		, ,	4.00
2.50 5.44)	63.61 (52.94)			60.14 50.88) ((6	82.23 55.25)	99.17	1.26
3.06	65.28 (53.89)	,	1	60.97 (51.34)	88.53 (70.26)	99.58 (87.90)	LI.67
.16 .84)	12.65	6.12 (7.34)	0.00	16.05 (10.64)	6.86	1.17 (4.05)	116.03
000**	.032*	-NS	OOONS	034"	093NS.	NS	,392 ^{NS}
.000) 3 . 47	(.037) 12.75 (7.62)	(.402)	(000)	(O38) 14.62 (8.64)	(.087)	-	
, .			1	(0.01)			
7 4 2 3 3 3	.72 .83) .50 .44) .06 .89) .16 .84) .00** .000)	7.87) I(51.86) .72 47.50 .83) (43.56) .50 63.61 (52.94) .06 65.28 .89) (53.89) 16 12.65 84) (8.80) 000** .032* 000) (.037) 12.75	[.87] I(51.86) (73.51) [.72] 47.50 86.67 [.83] (43.56) (68.63) [.50] 63.61 91.39 [.44] (52.94) (73.86) (\$\$ [.65] .89] (77.86) [.77] .89] (53.89) (77.86) [.84] (8.80) (7.34) [.84] (.00** .037) (.402) [.47] 12.75	[.87] I(51.86) (73.51) (90.00) .72	[.87] I(51.86) (73.51) (90.00) (48.01) [.72] 47.50 86.67 100.00 42.64 [.83] (43.56) (68.63) (90.00) (40.72) [.50] 63.61 91.39 100.00 60.14 [.44] (52.94) (73.86) (90.00) (50.88) ((60.00) (60.97) (60.00) (60.97) (60.00) (60.97) (60.00) ((60.00) (60.97) (60.00) ((60.00) (60.97) (60.00) ((60.00) (60.00) ((60.00) (60.00) ((60.00) (60.00) ((60.00) (60.00) ((60.00) ((60.00) (60.00) ((60.	[.87] I(51.86) (73.51) (90.00) (48.01) (66.27) [.72] 47.50 86.67 100.00 42.64 78.47 [.83] (43.56) (68.63) (90.00) (40.72) (62.49) [.50] 63.61 91.39 100.00 60.14 82.23 [.44] (52.94) (73.86) (90.00) (50.88) (65.25) [.06] 65.28 95.59 100.00 60.97 88.53 [.89] (53.89) (77.86) (90.00) (51.34) (70.26) [.68] (8.80) (7.34) (0.00) (10.64) (6.37) [.69] (0.00) (10.64) (6.37) [.60] (0.00) (0.00) (0.00) (0.00) (0.00) [.60] (0.00)	[.87] I(51.86) (73.51) (90.00) (48.01) (66.27) (84.04) [.72] 47.50 86.67 100.00 42.64 78.47 98.06 [.83] (43.56) (68.63) (90.00) (40.72) (62.49) (81.94) [.50] 63.61 91.39 100.00 60.14 82.23 99.17 [.44] (52.94) (73.86) (90.00) (50.88) ((65.25) (84.90) [.66] 65.28 95.59 100.00 60.97 88.53 99.58 [.89] (53.89) (77.86) (90.00) (51.34) (70.26) (87.90) [.66] 12.65 6.12 0.00 16.05 6.86 1.17 [.84] (8.80) (7.34) (0.00) (10.64) (6.37) (4.05) [.67] 000** .032* -NS 000NS 034* 093NS NS [.68] 000) (.037) (.402) (000) (038) (.087) -14.62

Table 7: Chemical control of ashy stem blight:

Results of Duncar's Multiple Range Test.

Treatment		Germination	Disease Incidence 1st obs	Disease Intensity 1st obs
Rizo:ex		ВС	AB	AB
Rizolex	3g	В	AB	AB
Granox	 _2g	A	В	В
Sumi 8	16	C	A	A
Sumi 8	. <u>10 </u>	ВС	AB	AR AR
Granox	# 5	A	В	В
Thiram	3g	C	T	A
Control No treatm		С	T A	A

Treatments with the same letters do not differ significantly.

The results presented in table 6 revealed that there was highly significant differences in the germination percentage due to the treatment of different seed dressers. The germination percentage obtained in the seed treatment with granox (both doses-2p and 4g/Kg seed) was significantly more than not only the control (no seed treatment) but also the other seed dressers. The next best treatment observed was Rizolex 3g dose. It has given significantly more seed germination over control as well as Thiram and Sumi 8 1g dose. However, it was on par with Rizolex 2g dose and Sumi 8 2g dose. These results indicate that seed treatment with granox before sowing gives the highest seed germination followed by seed treatment with rizolex at the rate of 3g per K to seed.

The results of first observations recorded on disease incidence as well as intensity on 26.08.88 indicated that there was significant variation in the efficaccy of various seed treatments used in this experiment. Here also Granox at both the doses was found significantly superior over control as well as thiram and Sumi 8 at 1g dose. However, it was on par with 0 ther seed treatments viz, Rizolex at both 2g and 3g doses and Sumi 8 at 2g dose.

The results of the disease incidence and intensity observations recorded on 7.09.88 and on 23.09.88 did not show significant variation. In the third observation recorded on 23.09.88, all the treatments have shown 100% disease incidence. The disease intensity also was very high in all the treatments. Lt ranged in between 98.06 (Granox 4g dose) and '99.58 (control). These results clearly show that

- 1. 'eed treatment with granox gives satisfactory seed germination even though the seed is contaminated with Macrophomina pathogen.
- 2. New seed dressers viz., Rizolex and Sumi 8 do not give satisfactory seed germination if Macrophomina contamination is high.
- 3. Granox holds its efficacy to some extent in the early crop growth stage against Dlacrophomina infection. But subsequently it also looses its hold and the crop succumbs to the Macrophomina infection.
- 4. If the disease pressure is very high, seed treatment alone even with Granox cannot save the crop. For controlling the Macrophomina infection on the leaves, fungicidal sprays with some new systemic fungicides rnay be worth trying.

These seed dressers were also tested in vitro by r olled towel me thod. Same treatments were used in this test also ie, Rizolex 2g/Kg, Rizolex 3g/Kg, Granox 2g/Kg Sumi 8 1g/Kg, Sumi 8 2g/Kg, Granox 4g/Kg and Thiram 3g/Kg. One set of untreated seed served as control.

Seeds were treated with respective seed dressers and then were put on sets of 3 blotter sheets previously moistened with water. The sheets were rolled and kept at room temperature, They were moist ened regularly. The sheets were opened after 10 days and the observations were recorded for seed rot, and root readiling infection. The microflora associated with seed rot androot rollseed ing infection was examined under the microscope. The results are presented ii: table 8.

Table 8 : Chemical control of ashy sem blight.

Results of laboratory test

<u>-</u>						
Seed tr	eatment 	rot ,	Healthy seed. ger minated %	S	Root rot eedling fection%	Organisms associated with seed rot, root rot/seedling infection:
		nated)	1			
<u>-</u>		%				
Rizolex 2	2g/Kg seed	15	67		18	Macrophomina phaseolina Aspergillus sp. Rhizopus sp. Erwinia sp.
Ri zolex 3	βg/Kg ∣ seed	15	71 		14	Macrophomina phaseolina Aspergillus sp. Rhizopus sp. Erwinia sp.
	2g/Kg seed	6	90		4	Macrophomina phaseolina Erwinia sp.
	lg/kg seed	12	62		26	Macrophomina phaseolina Aspergillus sp. Rhizopus sp. Erwinia sp.
Sumi 8 2	2g/Kg seed	10	64		26	Macrophanina phaseolina Rhizopus sp. Erwinia sp.
	4g/Kg seed	2	97		1	Erwinia sp.
Thiram 3	g/Kg seed	1 5	79		16	Macrophomina phaseolina Aspergillus sp. Erwinia sp
Control		18	28		54	Macrophomina phaseolire Aspergillus sp. Rhizopus sp.
('JC seed (treatmen						Aspergillus sp. Rhizopus sp. Erwinia sp.

As was seen in case of field experiment, granox at 4g dose was the best in this study also. Granox at 2g dose was the next best treatment followed by thiram 3g /Kg seed . Macrophomina phaseolina was the most common organism encountered almost in al 1. the treatments except granox at 4g dose.

V. SURVEY OF COWPEA DISEASES

The disease situation during 1988 crop season was serious in respect of mosaic diseases while eit was comparatively much satisfactory in case of other diseases. A very high incidence of virus infection was observed througout the cowpea are: it Bambey virus infection was noticed during the second week after sowing Subjection quently it was spread very capidly. Some of the 58-57 plots showed a very high virus incidence (around 80%) within 4 weeks after sowing. This year there was a very early but break of aphids on cowpea. This might have helped to spread the virus rapidly.

Amongst the other diseases bacterial blight was important particularly on B 21. Some of the ii 21 fields, at the station as well as in the minikits were bad by affected 58-57, which was holding resistance to bacterial blight so far, showeti some bacterial biight infection at Louga station. Few farmers' fields sown with CB5 and B 21 at Keur Boumi were badly affected by bacterial blight.

Macrophomina blight which was very serious during 1987 season was mostly to pathology field at Bambey. Lt was very serious in the chemical control experiment and the disease nursery. However, other fields in Bambey and other stations also had a ceglegible incidence. Some of the minikit trials showed mild attack of macrophomina blight.

Incidence of web blight was also very low as compared to last year Similarly choanephora pod rot was also neglegible Cercospora leaf spots incidence was slightly more than 1987 season. But it appeared late and as such had no much effect on the yield. Brown blotch was noticed on CB 5 at bambey Bacterial pustule was seen in some of the minikit trials particularly on CB 5, Ndiambour B 23. and at 2 Locations (Sagatta and ndatt fall) on 58-57 also.

Stationwise report of various diseases encountered in the experimental plots at the research stations as well as minikit trials on the farmers' fields is furished in table 9.

Table 9: Cowpea diseases encountered during 1988

Crop season:

Bambey:

58-57 - virus, corcosporiose, choanephora pod rot Ndiambour - Virus cercosportose Mougne - Virus, cercosporiose B 21 - Bacterial blight, web blight, choanephora

pod rot Ashy stem blight

CB5 - web blight, brown blotch

Virus and cercosporiose were seen on many loveding lines in the bresders field. Ashy stem blight (Macrophomina blight) was very serious in the disease nursery and many entries succumbed to this disease (see table 4 of this report).

Nioro:

58-57 - virus , Cercosporiose

Dj ibelor :

58-57 - virus, cercosporiose

Local - virus, cercosporios web blight

B 21 - web blight;

Thi lmakha:

B 21 - Bacterial blight, web bl gh ,ashy stem blight

58-57 - virus, ccrcosporios

CB5 - choanephora pod rot

Mougne - virus

Some of the breeding lines in the advanced yield whials showed virus and bac terial blight infection. (virus 279/283, bacterial blight - 283 and 417).

Louga:

B 21 - Racterial blight

bacterial pustule

CB5 - Bacterial blight, web | bligh , cercosporiose choanephora pod rot.

Ndiambour - Virus

58-57 - virus cercosporiose bac terial blight

infection.

(n) the first tim 58-57 hns shown bacterial bligh infection) Some of the breeding lines (191 N , 279 N,283 N) showed virus

Minikits:

Sanatta:

B 21 - bacterial blight, cercosporiose

CB 5 - bacterial blight, bacterial pustule, ashy stem bligh t , cercosp\(\phi \)riose

58-57 - virus bactérial pustule, cercosporiose

Ndiambour - bacterial pustule, virus, cercosporiose

```
Sine Dieng:
```

58-57 - virus cercosporiose

B 21 - Cercosporiose .00 cterial blight ,bacterial pustule

CB 5 - cercosporiose ,bacterial blight,bacterial pustule, ashy stem blight

Ndis about - Bacterial pistule, cercosporiose, choanephora pod rot visus

Cocki:

58-57 - virus cercosporiose

Ndiambour - bacterial pustule

B21 - Bacterial blight cercosporiose

CB 5 - Ashy stem blight, cercosporiose

Sakal:

B - 21 - Bacterial blight ,ashy stem blight.,

cercosporiose

CB5 - Bacterial blight, ashy stem blight corrosporiose web blight choanephora pod rot;

Ndiambour - Virus, web blight

58-57 - Virus, cercospriose

Ndatt Fall

CB _ 5 - Bacterial blight, bacterial pustule,
ashy stem blight, cercosporiose, seb blight

B21 - Bacterial blight, cercosporiose, ashy stem blight, bacterial pustule, web blight.

Ndiambour - bacterial p ustule, bacterial blight, cercosporiose, web blight

58-57 - Virus, cercospo riose bacterial pustule

Keur galo:

58-57 - Virus, cercospor liose

Mougne - virus, cercospriose

B 21 - Cercosporiose, web blight

Tvx 3236 - No disease

Keur Boumi:

CB-5 - Bacterial pustule cercosporiose, bacterial blight.

B 21 - Bacterial blight , bacterial pustule, cercosporiose

Ndiambour - Bacterial | ustule, cercosporiose

58-57 · Virus, cercosportiose

Local - cercosporiose

(Regular minikits have been discontinual at Keur boumi from this year. However, farmers have continued to grow the minikit varieties.)

Striga was seen at Nobel Fall for lost 2 seasons. This year in addition to Ndatt Fall it was also seen at Sine Dieng and Keur Boumi. The intensity was also much more as compared to previous years. At Ndatt Fall and Sine Dieng purple flower spiror observed while at Keur Boumi a while flower spiror observed while at Keur Boumi a while flower spiror was noticed. Striga was also noticed in the pathology field at Bambey. It was seen in the experiment where farmers' seed was used. This seed was procured from Bambey market. This indicates that striga is prevailing in the fields around Bambey.